| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------|--------------|
| | 10/624,370 | LEE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| · | Steven R. Garland | 2125 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: pending | | |
| (1) <u>Steven R. Garland</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Mr. McClure. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 24 January 2007 | Time: morning | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | 1 |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 13 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| none | | N. |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | · |
| | | |
| | | |
| 80,- 0 of 0 / | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner proposed to make certain changes to the application by examiner's amendment to place the application in better form for allowance. Also a proposed drawing correction was discussed. Mr. McClure agreed to the proposed changes set forth in the attached examiner's amendment..